

UNITED STA. a:S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231

i	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
				EXAMINER
			•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		the second		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
		,		22
•		· : : .		DATE MAILED:
	1*	INTERVI	EW SUMMARY	•
All pa	rticipants (applicant, applicant	t's representative, PTO personnel)	:	
(1)	Vuliet Einsma	M	(3) Gordon	Kit
(2)	Jeff Fredma	Market State Comments	(4) Anders 1	onnebora (mventor)
Date	of Interview6/11	102	(5) Proveen	Sharma (inventor)
Туре:	☐ Telephonic Personal	(copy is given to applicant	_ • •	(6) Elizabeth Jones
		ducted: XYes \(\sum \) No If yes, be		ed data demonstrating
71	ne inventions 1	functionality in	Alzheimers 3	breast cancer
A			monethers 1	Viast Cancer
	ment was reached. w	as not reached. N/A	の では、このは、MSDE in Company in Company には、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これ	
	(s) discussed:	0-104	The second secon	A SECTION OF
Identif	ication of prior art discussed:_	Kaiph, 2	ni-xin et a	Q
				B. B
Descri	ption of the general nature of	what was agreed to if an agreeme	nt was reached, or any other o	omments:
<u>(1)</u>	<u>Uiscussed</u> nei	n matter reject	ins, proposed	1 possible amendments
	to overcome	· Pointed to	Examples 1	2. \$ 6 to support
	= originate	distant from.		Zi i suff
(2)	Painted out	A. A Palple	£ 71	athe leads of the
(100000	yran respon		ooth teach situations
must b attache	er description, if necessary, an e attached. Also, where no co ed.)	d a copy of the amendments, if average of the amendments which wou	ailable, which the examiner ac Id render the claims allowable	preed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be page.
1. 🗆 I	t is not necessary for applican	t to provide a separate record of the	ne substance of the interview.	9
Unless IS NOT action I	the paragraph above has bee WAIVED AND MUST INCLU	en checked to indicate to the contri DE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN	ary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RE	SPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on 713.04). If a response to the last Office E TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
is	Since the Examiner's interview ejections and requirements the s considered to fulfill the response the interview unless box 1 abour	onse requirements of the last Office	tachments) reflects a complete e action, and since the claims e action. Applicant is not reliev	e response to each of the objections, are now allowable, this completed form red from providing a separate record of
Examin	er Note: You must sign this for	rm unless it is an attachment to ar	other form.	•
	FOL-413 (REV.1-96)			1

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812:01 of the Manual of Patent only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is directive provided for in Section 8 (2.01) of the manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation/procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate baser number, placed in the right hand portion of the fley and listed on the Contents list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview the duplication of the fley and listed on the Contents list on the file /en 108 :88

to the applicant for attorney or agent at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a tel address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence fro dictate, the Form should be mailed promoting after the telephonic interview rather than with the case of the cas	personal interview, the duplicate lephonic interview, the copy is many om the examiner is not likely before	topy of the Form is removed alled to the applicant's corre- e an allowance or if other circ	and giv
The state of the s	me della distributioni di distributioni di distributioni di		
The Form provides for recordation of the following information: If Seniar Number of the application of the following information: Name of applicant Name of examiner Date of interview (personal or telephonic) Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.) An indication whether or got as within two provides the provides of the pro	C. Honalik	a at other section of	es .
Type of interview (personal or telephonic) Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.) An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted An identification of the claims discussed	Museus NA	cuantana:	AH .
An identification of the specific prior art discussed An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability as contrary.) The signature of the examine who conducted the interview		10	Н.
The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present. The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to see		and action by the examiner	to the

nis responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview of when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check abox at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items/required below condensing the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any dehionstration conducted.

1) A brief description of the nature or any extension of specific prior art discussed,
3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary

Form completed by the examiner,

So brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,

6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and

7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

 (\vec{z})

in which the blood cells contacted the point of disease. Discussed an amendment requiring that the cells have not contacted the area of said disease which would overcome the art rejections.

The examiners reiterated that the kit claims we are quite broad in scope and will be difficult to limit in a generic form.

Jun